

## REMARKS

Claims 1-36 have been allowed. Claims 1-36 have been amended, when necessary, in accordance with the Examiner's note that all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems. No substantive changes have been made to Claims 1-36.


The Office Action rejected Claims 37-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Office Action also rejected Claims 37-39 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowling (U.S. Patent 5,033,112). Applicant respectfully disagrees. However, in order to expedite prosecution of the case, Claims 37-39 have been cancelled.

The Abstract has been slightly amended for clarification purposes. The changes are supported in the application.

The Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner determine that any further action is necessary to place this application into better form, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY U.S. LLP



Steven B. Kelber  
Registration No. 30,073  
Attorney of Record

1200 Nineteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036-2412  
Telephone No. (202) 861-3900  
Facsimile No. (202) 223-2085

Lisa K. Norton  
Registration No. 44,977